

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/308,017	05/12/1999	HOLGER LAUSCH	F-6201	5604	
75	590 10/11/2002				
	D HAMBURG		EXAMINER		
122 EAST 42N NEW YORK, N			LASTRA, DANIEL		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3622		
			DATE MAILED: 10/11/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1
Advisory Action	09/308,017	LAUSCH, HOLGER	N
•	Examiner	Art Unit	
	DANIEL LASTRA	3622	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addr	ess
THE REPLY FILED 23 September 2002 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	evoid abandonment of this application 1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper rep	ly to a ation in
_ 5 PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	f the final rejection. È FINAL REJECTION. Se	æ MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The da have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate extended the final Office action; or (2)	ension fee under 2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		1
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by	pelow);	•	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by:mat	erially reducing or si	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claim	ıs.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See	r reconsideration has been consecution Sheet.	sidered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	$\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{s})$ a) $\mathbf{\boxtimes}$ will not be entered or bould be rejected is provided below)□ will be entered a ow or appended.	and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-29.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapp	proved by the Exami	ner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statementon. Other:	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	- Enils	Stanle
		SUPERVISORY P	STAMBER ATENT EXAMINE
S. Patent and Trademark Office		TECHNOLOGY	CENTER 3600

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 99/308,047



Application No.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant arguments were already considered in previous office action.